# OTATUTORIAL 27

# Uhy normalizedBundleHash? Why not reuse an address for outgoing txs?





v1.0.0





## INTRO

- transactions by using the Lamport One Time Signature scheme. That was a simplified explanation but not an accurate one. This tutorial will provide you the correct answer.
- In IOTA tutorial 16 I have never explained why the bundleHash is normalized. In this tutorial I will explain why this it is needed.

In IOTA tutorial 6 I have explained why you should not reuse an address for outgoing



## PREREQUISITES

- I assume that you have watched:
  - IOTA tutorial 8: Cryptographic sponge construction
  - IOTA tutorial 9.1: Key, Digests & Address
  - IOTA tutorial 10: Transaction and bundle
  - IOTA tutorial 15: BundleHash
  - IOTA tutorial 16: normalizedBundleHash
  - IOTA tutorial 17: Create and validate a signature



## PREREQUISITES

If you have not watched these videos you probably will not understand this tutorial.
I highly recommended that you first watch these tutorials.



## QUICK REFRESHER

- To be on the same page, in the following slides I will give you a quick refresher:
  - What is a transaction bundle and transaction objects.
  - What is a bundleHash and how it is created.
  - What is a normalizedBundleHash and how it is created.
  - How to calculate the number of hashes.
  - How to create and validate a signatureFragment.
  - How is an address calculated.



## TRANSACTIONBUNDLE





## TRANSACTIONOBJECT EXAMPLE

## • This is what a single transactionObject looks like in a transaction bundle:

"hash": "YDDQ...A9999",

### "signatureMessageFragment": "JHAK...MVGY",

"address": "HRKD...XKHX", "value": -3, "obsoleteTag": "99999999999999999999999999999", "timestamp": 1515494426, "currentIndex": 1, "lastIndex": 2,

### "bundle": "RTGX...LQCY",

"trunkTransaction": "WVCLP...99999", "branchTransaction": "DOXV...X999", "tag": "99999999999999999999999999999", "attachmentTimestamp": 1515496571334, "attachmentTimestampLowerBound": 0, "attachmentTimestampUpperBound": 3812798742493, "nonce": "AZ999IOB9999999999999999999", "persistence": true

### mobilefish.com

### How is this bundleHash created?



## BUNDLEHASH

• The bundle transactionObject addresses, values, obsoleteTags, timestamps, currentIndexes and lastIndexes are used to calculate the bundleEssences:

bundleEssence = convertToTrits(address) + convertToTrytes(valueTrits) + obsoleteTag + convertToTrytes(timestampTrits) + convertToTrytes(currentIndexTrits) + convertToTrytes(lastIndexTrits))



## BUNDLEHASH

 Use the cryptographic sponge construsqueeze the hash.



### mobilefish.com

### Use the cryptographic sponge construction to absorb the bundleEssences and



## BUNDLEHASH

Convert the hash to trytes:
bundleHash = convertToTrytes(hash)



## TRANSACTIONOBJECT EXAMPLE

## • This is what a single transactionObject looks like in a transaction bundle:

"hash": "YDDQ...A9999",

### "signatureMessageFragment": "JHAK...MVGY",

"address": "HRKD...XKHX", "value": -3, "obsoleteTag": "99999999999999999999999999999", "timestamp": 1515494426, "currentIndex": 1, "lastIndex": 2,

### "bundle": "RTGX...LQCY", - bundleHash

"trunkTransaction": "WVCLP...99999", "branchTransaction": "DOXV...X999", "tag": "99999999999999999999999999999", "attachmentTimestamp": 1515496571334, "attachmentTimestampLowerBound": 0, "attachmentTimestampUpperBound": 3812798742493, "nonce": "AZ999IOB9999999999999999999", "persistence": true





## NORMALIZED BUNDLEHASH

- The normalizedBundleHash is created by extracting the bundleHash from the transactionObject and the bundleHash is then normalized.
- are evenly distributed.

### mobilefish.com

• The normalizedBundleHash contains no tryte value M and the "weights" of the trytes



## NORMALIZED BUNDLEHASH

• You can think of normalizing the bundleHash as balancing a seesaw, by manipulating its "weight" (=trytes) to reach a more equilibrium state.



The normalizedBundleHash is used to create or validate a signature.



## CALCULATE NUMBER OF HASHES



bundleHash 81 trytes

normalized BundleHash

tryte decimal value



K = 13 - decimal





### mobilefish.com

normalized BundleFragment 27 trytes

K times to hash each segment to CREATE signatureFragment

K times to hash each segment to VALIDATE signatureFragment

![](_page_13_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

## SIGNATUREMESSAGEFRAGMENT EXAMPLE

## • This is what a single transactionObject looks like in a transaction bundle:

"hash": "YDDQ...A9999",

### "signatureMessageFragment": "JHAK...MVGY",

"address": "HRKD...XKHX", "value": -3, "obsoleteTag": "99999999999999999999999999999", "timestamp": 1515494426, "currentIndex": 1, "lastIndex": 2,

### "bundle": "RTGX...LQCY",

"trunkTransaction": "WVCLP...99999", "branchTransaction": "DOXV...X999", "tag": "99999999999999999999999999999", "attachmentTimestamp": 1515496571334, "attachmentTimestampLowerBound": 0, "attachmentTimestampUpperBound": 3812798742493, "nonce": "AZ999IOB9999999999999999999", "persistence": true

![](_page_15_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

- IOTA from address A to B and there is no remainder.
- See the corresponding transaction bundle:
- The transaction bundle has two transactionObjects.
- is "VXO...LTKA".

### mobilefish.com

• I have created a simple value transaction: I have used security level I and transferred I

https://www.mobilefish.com/download/iota/transactions\_in\_bundle\_security\_level1.txt

A transactionObject containing recipient data and the other containing sender data.

• The senders signatureMessageFragment is "KVSA...HMKW" and the senders address

![](_page_18_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

### mobilefish.com

..... segment, each segment consists of 81 trytes

23 ..... hash each segment K times

26 ..... fragment stored in bundle

3 ..... hash each segment K times

----- 27 segments forms a keyFragment

hash each keyFragment 1x

----- each digests consists of 81 trytes

hash n digests 1x

security level 1

![](_page_19_Picture_12.jpeg)

- hold of this transaction bundle.
- own address. By doing so the bundleHash changes which means the

### mobilefish.com

• Let assume the submitted transaction bundle is pending and a hacker, called Eve, gets

• Eve can change the transaction bundle by replacing the recipient's address with her normalizedBundleHash and the number of hashes (K) are also changed accordingly.

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

### mobilefish.com

bundleHash = convertToTrytes(hash) hash

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

tryte decimal

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

### mobilefish.com

K times to hash each segment to CREATE signatureFragment

K = number of hashes

K times to hash each segment to VALIDATE signatureFragment

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

### mobilefish.com

### 13 12 ------- hash each segment K times - Number of hashes (K) changed!

## The generated address does not match the senders

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

Data (D) is hashed 5x to get the hashed result  $D_5$ :  $D + 5 + D_5$ 

You can also draw it this way:

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

Question: Can you hash a value 6x to get  $D_5$ ? Answer: No, you can't! A hash algorithm is a one-way function.

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

Data (D) is hashed 5x to get the hashed result  $D_5$ : 5 D

Question: Can you hash a value 1x to get  $D_5$ ? Answer: Yes, if you start with  $D_4$ .

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

Question: Can you hash a value 4x to get  $D_5$ ? Answer: Yes, if you start with  $D_1$ .

$$D_1 \longrightarrow D_5$$

Question: Can you hash a value 7x to get  $D_5$ ? Answer: No, you can't.

![](_page_25_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

- But Eve still has a problem with the first and last segment.
- Her attempt is only successful if all Koriginal values are bigger of equal than the corresponding Khacked values.

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

- Now lets assume the following case: The Koriginal values are all between 14-26. The Khacked values are all between 1-13.
- address.

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

### mobilefish.com

In this case, Eve can successfully hack the transaction bundle and send IOTAs to her

![](_page_28_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)

- However in reality the previous mentioned case is difficult to realise because a normalizedBundleHash is used.
- corresponding Khacked values.
- By using a normalizedBundleHash the probability that this will happen is small.

### mobilefish.com

• Eve attempt can only be successful if ALL Koriginal values are bigger of equal than the

![](_page_29_Picture_8.jpeg)

bundleHash 81 trytes

normalized BundleHash

tryte decimal value

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

K = 13 + decimal

### mobilefish.com

normalized BundleFragment 27 trytes

![](_page_30_Figure_8.jpeg)

K times to hash each segment to VALIDATE signatureFragment

![](_page_30_Picture_10.jpeg)

• The previous mentioned decimal values (= normalizedBundleHash tryte values like a seesaw.

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

• By distributing these values evenly the Koriginal values are "spread". You will have low values: I-13 and high values 14-26. prevents this.

### mobilefish.com

converted to decimal values) are in the range -13 to 13 and are evenly distributed just

You can not have only Koriginal values between 14 and 26, the normalizedBundleHash

![](_page_31_Picture_7.jpeg)

# WHY NOT REUSE AN ADDRESS FOR OUTGOING TXS?

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_4.jpeg)

- transactions.
- A few days later, Eve noticed 500 MIOTA were send to address A.
- Eve tries a hack attempt, she takes the 2nd transaction bundle:
  - and change the recipient's value to 500 MIOTA.
  - From the sender tx object, she change the spending value to 500 MIOTA.

• Eve has found these two transaction bundles using the same address A for outgoing

• From the receiver tx object, she change the recipient's address with her own address

• By doing so the bundleHash, normalizedBundleHash and the K values are changed.

![](_page_33_Picture_13.jpeg)

1st tx bundle

2nd tx bundle

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

address

Eve modified 2nd tx bundle

![](_page_34_Figure_7.jpeg)

address

![](_page_34_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

••• 25 26

25

11

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$ 

address

21 12 10

Using 2nd bundle Hack attempt not successful

![](_page_35_Figure_6.jpeg)

address

![](_page_35_Picture_8.jpeg)

- address to the hackers address.
- Reusing an address for outgoing transactions does not mean the hacker will

• If you reuse an address for outgoing addresses you provide a hacker more possibilities to successfully create a modified transaction bundle sending IOTAs from the victim's

immediately succeed in its hack attempt, but it will definitely increase its chances.

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)